

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/467,317	09/467,317 12/20/1999		RANDOLPH NOELLE	012712-813	2231	
7278	7590	08/31/2006		EXAMINER		
DARBY &		Y P.C.	GAMBEL, PHILLIP			
P. O. BOX : NEW YORI		0150-5257		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, and the second				1644		
			DATE MAILED: 08/31/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/467,317	NOELLE, RANDOLPH		
Examiner	Art Unit.		
Phillip Gambel	1644		

Before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phillip Gambel	1644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION	ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA	NCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	a data of the final rejection		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I They raise new issues that would require further contained the proposed in the proposed in the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		1	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a control of the control of	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	04 OHhd-N		(DTOL 204)
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amondme	ant canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	iowabie ii submitted iii a separate,	ameny med amendme	ant canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov		be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>83-86 and 90-94</u> .		7	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	•
13. Other:		EL, PH.D JD MINER TZ (600	8/06
	PHILLIP GAMBE	L. PH.D. TTO	-1 ~
	PRIMARY EXA	MINER TO (600)
	DA	wat imper	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for the reasons of record. Applicant's arguments and the examiner's rebuttal are essentially the same of record. See the previous Office Actions for a more complete analysis, including addressing applicant's reliance upon differences in claim terminology and the Decision in Noelle v. Lederman.